EECS-343 Operating Systems Lecture 12: Concurrent Data Structures

Steve Tarzia Spring 2019



Some slides based on those by Nima Honarmand

Announcements

- HW3 was posted and is due next Wednesday
- Project 3 is due on Monday

Last Lecture – Implementing Locks

- Hardware support for atomicity:
 - Disable interrupts
 - Test and set
 - Compare and swap
 - Fetch and add
 - Load-linked & Store-conditional

- Various lock implementations
 - Spinlock
 - Ticket lock
 - Yielding lock
 - Queuing locks
 - Park/unpark on Solaris
 - *Futex* on Linux
- Sophisticated locks can be more *fair* and avoid starvation, but they can add unnecessary context-switch overhead on multiprocessors.
- *Two-phase locks* try to combine the best of both approaches.
- OS scheduler and concurrent user code must coordinate for best performance.

Thread-safe data structures

- Multi-threaded programs can concurrently access shared memory.
- We say that a data structure is *thread safe* if it can be concurrently accessed by multiple threads.
- These are also called *concurrent data structures*.
- Simple implementations are usually not thread safe.
- Usually we use one or more lock to protect critical sections in the data structure read/update functions.
- The simplest way to achieve thread safety is to use *one big lock*.
 - The big lock prevents any concurrent access to the data structure.
 - However, this is not very scalable it eliminates concurrency!

Concurrent counter

- *Simplest approach:* use one lock to protect increment and decrement.
- Lock in get() is not strictly necessary.
 - Reading an out-of-date value is still consistent.

• Problem:

- There is a lot of locking overhead for just a tiny bit of work (++ or --)
- 2.4 seconds to run 40,000,000 increments divided across 4 threads
- Runtime is just 0.4 seconds without locks (~6x slowdown)
- Atomic CPU ops (eg., xchg) are slow.

```
typedef struct __counter_t {
        int
                        value;
        pthread_lock_t lock;
      counter_t;
    }
    void init(counter_t *c) {
        c \rightarrow value = 0;
        Pthread_mutex_init(&c->lock, NULL);
9
10
    void increment(counter_t *c) {
11
       Pthread_mutex_lock(&c->lock);
12
        c->value++;
13
      Pthread_mutex_unlock(&c->lock);
14
15
16
    void decrement(counter_t *c) {
17
      Pthread_mutex_lock(&c->lock);
18
        c->value--;
19
      Pthread_mutex_unlock(&c->lock);
20
21
22
    int get(counter_t *c) {
23
       Pthread_mutex_lock(&c->lock);
24
        int rc = c->value;
25
      Pthread_mutex_unlock(&c->lock);
26
27
        return rc;
28
```

How to reduce the locking overhead?

- Reduce the lock frequency.
- Give each thread a chunk of work to do between each synchronization
- Give each thread a *local counter*.
 - Periodically flush local counters to the global counter.
 - We'll make large increments to the global counter, not just single increments.
 - There is no contention on the local counter, does not require a lock.
- *Sloppy counter* is a slightly-out-of-date global counter

Performance experiment: count to 40 million

(source code is posted to Canvas: "sample code/counters.tar.gz")

- Single-threaded: 0.09 seconds
 - fast because there is no thread creation *and* no locking.

Multi-threaded (4 threads):

- Buggy multi-threaded (no locks): 0.4 seconds
 - (only counted to ~11M)
- One big lock: 2.4 seconds
- Sloppy counter with local locks: 0.49 seconds
 - Increment global counter every time local counter reaches 1000.
- Sloppy counter with just one global lock: 0.05 seconds
 - Here we didn't bother to lock the local counter since it's not shared.

Basic Concurrent Linked List

- Just use one "big" lock
- Don't forget to unlock when returning early.
- Simplicity means it's easy to verify

```
// basic node structure
1
    typedef struct __node_t {
2
         int
                                key;
3
         struct _____node__t
                                    *next;
    } node t;
5
6
    // basic list structure (one used per list)
7
    typedef struct __list_t {
8
         node t
                                  *head;
9
        pthread_mutex_t
                                lock;
10
    } list_t;
11
12
    void List_Init(list_t *L) {
13
         L \rightarrow head = NULL;
14
         pthread_mutex_init(&L->lock, NULL);
15
16
```

```
int List_Insert(list_t *L, int key) {
18
       pthread_mutex_lock(&L->lock);
19
        node_t *new = malloc(sizeof(node_t));
20
        if (new == NULL) {
21
             perror("malloc");
22
          pthread_mutex_unlock(&L->lock);
23
             return -1; // fail
24
25
        new -> key = key;
26
        new->next = L->head;
27
        L \rightarrow head = new;
28
      pthread_mutex_unlock(&L->lock);
29
        return 0; // success
30
31
    ł
32
    int_List_Lookup(list_t *L, int key) {
33
       pthread_mutex_lock(&L->lock);
34
        node_t *curr = L->head;
35
        while (curr) {
36
             if (curr->key == key) {
37
               pthread_mutex_unlock(&L->lock);
38
                 return 0; // success
39
40
             curr = curr->next;
41
42
      bthread_mutex_unlock(&L->lock);
43
        return -1; // failure
44
45
```

Concurrent Queue

- Separate head & tail locks
- Allows concurrent add & remove
 - Up to 2 threads can access without waiting

```
typedef struct ___node_t {
1
        int
                             value;
2
        struct ___node_t
                            *next;
3
    } node_t;
4
5
    typedef struct __queue_t {
6
        node_t
                            *head;
7
                            *tail;
        node t
8
        pthread_mutex_t headLock;
9
        pthread_mutex_t
                           tailLock;
10
    } queue_t;
11
12
    void Queue_Init(queue_t *q) {
13
        node_t *tmp = malloc(sizeof(node_t));
14
        tmp->next = NULL;
15
        q->head = q->tail = tmp;
16
        pthread_mutex_init(&q->headLock, NULL);
17
        pthread_mutex_init(&q->tailLock, NULL);
18
19
```

```
void Queue_Enqueue(queue_t *q, int value) {
21
        node_t *tmp = malloc(sizeof(node_t));
22
        assert (tmp != NULL);
23
24
        tmp->value = value;
        tmp->next = NULL;
25
26
      pthread_mutex_lock(&q->tailLock);
27
        q->tail->next = tmp;
28
        q \rightarrow tail = tmp;
29
      pthread_mutex_unlock(&q->tailLock);
30
31
32
    int Queue_Dequeue(queue_t *q, int *value) {
33
       pthread_mutex_lock(&q->headLock);
34
        node_t *tmp = q->head;
35
        node_t *newHead = tmp->next;
36
        if (newHead == NULL) {
37
          pthread_mutex_unlock(&q->headLock);
38
             return -1; // queue was empty
39
40
        *value = newHead->value;
41
42
        q->head = newHead;
      pthread_mutex_unlock(&q->headLock);
43
        free(tmp);
44
45
        return 0;
46
```

Concurrent Hash Table

- Each bucket is implemented with a Concurrent List
 - We don't have to define any locks!
 - (Locks are in the lists)
- A thread can access a bucket without blocking other threads' access to *other* buckets.
- Hash tables are ideal for concurrency.
 - Hash (bucket id) can be calculated without accessing a shared resource.
 - *Distributed hash tables* are used for huge NoSQL databases.

```
#define BUCKETS (101)
1
2
    typedef struct __hash_t {
        list_t lists[BUCKETS];
    } hash_t;
5
6
7
    void Hash_Init(hash_t *H) {
        int i;
8
        for (i = 0; i < BUCKETS; i++) {
9
             List_Init(&H->lists[i]);
10
11
    }
12
13
    int Hash_Insert(hash_t *H, int key) {
14
        int bucket = key % BUCKETS;
15
        return List_Insert(&H->lists[bucket], key);
16
17
18
    int Hash_Lookup(hash_t *H, int key) {
19
        int bucket = key % BUCKETS;
20
        return List_Lookup(&H->lists[bucket], key);
21
22
```

Language-level support for critical sections

- Java has *synchronized* keyword for surrounding critical sections
- Automatically releases the lock when exiting early:
- Python: "with self.lock:"
- Objective-C: "@synchronized"
- C++/C: 😔

```
public class Counter {
    int mTotal = 0;
```

}

```
public synchronized void addOne() {
    int val = mTotal;
    val++;
    mTotal = val;
}
```

Multithreaded app development advice

- Avoid using locks directly. Instead use provided thread-safe objects.
 - Concurrency code is tricky, so don't try to write your own.
- Read documentation to learn whether libraries' data structures and functions are *thread-safe*.
- For example, Java has many thread-safe data structures:
 - HashMap \rightarrow ConcurrentHashMap
 - Queue \rightarrow BlockingQueue
 - Blocks when trying to add to a full queue or retrieve from an empty queue
 - Collections.synchronized[Set | SortedSet | List | Map | SortedMap]
- If possible, pass *immutable* (read-only) objects to threads.

Intermission



"Who's next?"

Requirements for sensible concurrency

- Mutual exclusion (the topic of the last two lectures)
 - Prevents corruption of data manipulated in critical sections
 - Atomic instructions \rightarrow Locks \rightarrow Concurrent data structures
- Ordering (B runs after A)
 - We can use mutex variables to control ordering, but it's inefficient:
 - while(!myTurn) sleep(1);
 - We would like cooperating threads to be able to signal each other.
 - Park/unpark and futex can be used solve this problem, but
 - Condition Variables are a simpler, higher-level solution.

Waiting for a thread to finish

```
pthread_t p1, p2;
```

```
// create child threads
pthread_create(&p1, NULL, mythread, "A");
pthread_create(&p2, NULL, mythread, "B");
```

```
// join waits for the child threads to finish
thr_join(p1, NULL);
thr_join(p2, NULL);
```

return 0;

. . .

How to implement join?

Waiting for child with a status variable

- This works, but the waiting loop either:
 - Spins: wasting CPU time, or
 - *Sleeps:* delaying the response, or
 - *Yields*: leading to unnecessary context switches.
- It's not an ideal solution.

```
volatile int done = 0;
1
2
    void *child(void *arg) {
3
        printf("child\n");
4
        done = 1;
5
        return NULL;
6
7
8
    int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
9
        printf("parent: begin\n");
10
        pthread t c;
11
        Pthread_create(&c, NULL, child, NULL);
12
        while (done == 0)
13
             ; // spin
14
        printf("parent: end\n");
15
        return 0;
16
17
```

Condition Variable

... is a queue of waiting threads with two operations:

- *Wait* to queue the thread and wait for a signal.
- *Signal* to wake one waiting thread (or none if no one is waiting).
 - (real POSIX implementation actually lets you specify the number to wake.)

pthread_cond_wait(pthread_cond_t *c, pthread_mutex_t *m);
pthread_cond_signal(pthread_cond_t *c);

- CV has an associated lock to protect itself and related shared state.
- Must hold lock *m* when calling *wait*
 - Will release the lock before sleeping and acquire the lock before returning
- Wait and signal can be implemented with park/unpark or futex.

CV for child wait

- Must grab lock before calling *wait*
- Still need *done* variable because child may finish before parent gets to thr_join.
 - Don't want to wait indefinitely for a signal that already passed.
- *while* loop on line 20 could be an *if*, but while is more careful.

```
int done = 0;
1
    pthread_mutex_t m = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
    pthread_cond_t c = PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER;
3
5
    void thr_exit() {
        Pthread_mutex_lock(&m);
        done = 1;
        Pthread_cond_signal(&c);
8
        Pthread_mutex_unlock(&m);
9
10
11
12
    void *child(void *arg) {
        printf("child\n");
13
        thr_exit();
14
         return NULL;
15
16
17
    void thr_join() {
18
        Pthread_mutex_lock(&m);
19
         while (done == 0)
20
             Pthread_cond_wait(&c, &m);
21
        Pthread_mutex_unlock(&m);
22
23
24
    int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
25
        printf("parent: begin\n");
26
        pthread_t p;
27
        Pthread_create(&p, NULL, child, NULL);
28
        thr_join();
29
        printf("parent: end\n");
30
31
         return 0;
32
```

Buggy attempts to wait for a child

```
void thr_exit() {
Child
    1
            Pthread_mutex_lock(&m);
    2
            Pthread_cond_signal(&c);
    3
            Pthread_mutex_unlock(&m);
    4
    5
    6
Parent
        void thr_join() {
    7
            Pthread_mutex_lock(&m);
    8
            Pthread_cond_wait(&c, &m);
    9
            Pthread_mutex_unlock(&m);
   10
   11
```

1) Without *done* variable, the child could run first and signal before the parent starts waiting for the child.

2) Without a lock, the parent could see done==0, then the child could finish and signal, then the parent would start waiting (after the signal).

Spurious (fake) wakeups

- Pthreads allows wakeup to return not just when a signaled, but also when a *timer expires* or for *no reason at all!*
- Spurious wakeups were included in the specification because they may allow some implementations be more efficient.
- There is no guarantee that the condition you've been waiting for is true when you are awoken
- So, we must also use a "predicate loop." (*while*, not *if*)

```
int done = 0;
    pthread_mutex_t m = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
    pthread_cond_t c = PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER;
    void thr_exit() {
        Pthread_mutex_lock(&m);
        done = 1;
        Pthread_cond_signal(&c);
        Pthread_mutex_unlock(&m);
10
11
    void *child(void *arg) {
12
        printf("child\n");
13
        thr_exit();
14
        return NULL;
15
16
    }
17
    void thr_join() {
18
        Pthread_mutex_lock(&m);
19
        while (done == 0)
20
            Pthread_cond_wait(&c, &m);
        Pthread_mutex_unlock(&m);
23
24
    int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
25
        printf("parent: begin\n");
26
        pthread_t p;
27
        Pthread_create(&p, NULL, child, NULL);
28
        thr_join();
29
        printf("parent: end\n");
30
        return 0;
31
32
```

Bounded buffer (producer/consumer)

- We have multiple producers and multiple consumers that communicate with a shared queue (FIFO buffer).
 - Concurrent queue allows work to happen asynchronously.
- Buffer has finite size (does not dynamically expand).
- Two operations:
 - *Put*, which should block (wait) if the buffer is **full**.
 - *Get*, which should block (wait) if the buffer is empty.
- This is more complex than a (linked-list-based) concurrent queue because of the finite size and waiting.
- Example: request queue in a multi-threaded web server.

Managing the buffer

```
int buffer[MAX];
1
    int fill = 0;
2
    int use
               = 0;
3
    int count = 0;
4
5
    void put(int value) {
6
        buffer[fill] = value;
7
        fill = (fill + 1) % MAX;
8
        count++;
9
10
11
    int get() {
12
13
         int tmp = buffer[use];
        use = (use + 1)  % MAX;
14
        count--;
15
16
        return tmp;
17
```

- A simple implementation of a circular buffer that stores data in a fixed-size array.
- *fill* is the index of the tail
- *use* is the index of the head

•
$$count =$$
 (fill - use) % MAX

This simple implementation assumes:

- Concurrency is managed elsewhere
- It will overwrite data if we try to put more than MAX elements.

Managing the concurrency

```
cond_t empty, fill;
    mutex_t mutex;
    void *producer(void *arg) {
        int i;
        for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
            Pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
            while (count == MAX)
                 Pthread_cond_wait(&empty, &mutex);
9
            put(i);
10
            Pthread_cond_signal(&fill);
11
            Pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
12
13
14
15
    void *consumer(void *arg) {
16
        int i;
17
        for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
18
            Pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
19
            while (count == 0)
20
                 Pthread_cond_wait(&fill, &mutex);
21
            int tmp = get();
22
            Pthread_cond_signal(&empty);
23
            Pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
24
            printf("%d\n", tmp);
25
26
27
```

- Always acquire *mutex*
 - Must use same mutex in both functions
- Use *two condition variables*
- Producer waits for an *empty* if the buffer is full
 - Consumer signals *empty* after get
- Consumer waits for *fill* if the buffer is empty
 - Producer signals *fill* after put
- While loops re-check count condition after breaking out of wait, to handle spurious wakeups.

Covering conditions

- Recall that *signal* wakes one waiting thread (FIFO)
- But there are times when threads are not all equivalent
- The signal may not be serviceable by any of the threads
- For example, consider memory allocation/free requests
 - An allocation can only be serviced by free of >= size
- pthread_cond_broadcast wakes all threads
- This approach may be inefficient, but it may be necessary to ensure progress.

Rules of thumb

- Shared state determines if condition is true or not
- Check the state in a while loop before waiting on CV
- Use a mutex to protect:
 - the shared state on which condition is based, and
 - operations on the CV
- Remember to acquire the mutex before calling cond_signal() and cond_broadcast()
- Use different CVs for different conditions
- Sometimes, cond_broadcast() helps if you can't find an elegant solution using cond_signal()

Pthreads condition variable API

- Initialization/cleanup
 pthread_cond_init(cv, attr)
 pthread_cond_destroy(cv)
- Specify attributes of CVs (eg., threads of this process only or all procs) pthread_condattr_init(attr) pthread_condattr_destroy(attr)
- Waiting and signalling pthread_cond_wait(cv, mutex) pthread_cond_timedwait(cv, mutex, time) pthread_cond_signal(cv) pthread_cond_broadcast(cv)

Recap – Concurrent Data Structures

- Simplest strategy is to use *one big lock*, but this limits concurrency
 - It's *thread-safe*, but not really concurrent
- Concurrent queue used two locks (head & tail)
- Concurrent hash table used one lock per bucket
- Condition Variables are used to order threads, using signal() & wait().
 - Wait puts a thread to sleep, signal wakes a waiting thread.
 - Pthreads allows *spurious wakeups*, so we still need to check a status variable.
 - *broadcast()* wakes all waiting threads
- *Producer/consumer queue* was implemented using two condition variables.